



Observer Reporting Form

Table with 4 columns: Feature Evaluated, Yes, No, Comments. Rows include: Meeting started on time?, All members present?, Members attentive?, Did members appear to have done their "homework?", Were members courteous to each other and the public?, Agenda sent/posted 72 hours before?, Agenda items clearly described what was to be discussed?, Adequate opportunity for public input?, Was there an appearance that some action items were discussed in closed rather than open session?, Was background information available to the public?, Facilities adequate? (room size, lighting, ventilation, speakers audible, accessible to handicapped)

Were any issues on the agenda relevant to LWV positions or programs?

Redistricting is of significant relevance to the League position that supports actions that simplify the election process for voters. Jamey Dobbs, president of LWVKKC read a statement during public forum supporting the alignment of Commission and School Board districts stating that aligning the 9 districts makes it simpler for voters by reducing the confusion voter's face in comprehending multiple districts and boundaries. It would also simplify the election commission's organizational tasks, possibly reducing administrative costs for the County. Tim Kuhn reviewed changes to all 4 previously presented maps (now labeled, 1a, 2a, 3a & 4a). The primary change to all the redistricting plans was to move Prescient 92 (Gap Creek) to district 9 from district 8.

Public Forum is scheduled on September 22nd at 5:30pm at the Andrew Johnson Building to gather public comment.

Next Joint Committee meeting is scheduled for September 27th at 4pm at the Andrew Johnson Building at which time the Joint Committee will consider the recommendation of a specific redistricting plan.

Do you recommend League action on any of these issues? Present League statement at Public Forum.

Observer: Barbara Nicodemus Meeting: Joint Redistricting Meeting Date: September 8, 2011